# Facilities Committee Meeting Tuesday, April 30, 2019 6:30 p.m.

#### Present:

Louis Schwartz BOE Member
Rita Kennedy BOE Member
Jean Lucasey BOE Member
Tracy Baron BOE Member

Shannon Stringer Community Member Ron Clamser, Jr. Assistant Superintendent

Lisa Brady Superintendent Garrett Hamlin Tetra Tech

Dominic Calgi Calgi Construction Fred Sullo Calgi Construction

## **Capital Project Planning**

## **Update on Project Scope Spreadsheet**

Items below were excluded and will be addressed (as possible) through the general fund:

0	MS/HS security cameras and security film	\$139,922
0	MS/HS generator replacement	\$404,362
0	MS/HS kitchen dishwasher	\$ 49,422
0	MS/HS courtyard fencing	\$ 70,860
0	SH security cameras and security film	\$ 96,277
0	SH replace older classroom casework	\$198,779
0	SH bus loop canopy reconstruction	\$ 83,440
0	SH kitchen dishwasher	\$ 49,422
0	SH turf field stopper and netting	\$ 40,294
0	SH turf field fencing replacement	\$ 63,620

### **Geothermal Update**

At the Board's request, Tetra Tech evaluated the project for feasibility of geothermal at the MS/HS. Although geothermal would be more energy efficient over time, it would be more expensive in an existing building like our MS/HS as it would require a change of systems that includes digging multiple wells below the property. Taking into account the limited size of the MS/HS campus, the size of the necessary wellfield for the project, the high cost of the advanced technology required, along with proximity to the aqueduct and other factors, the engineers do not believe that geothermal is the best fit for this project.

Draft Financial Analysis from Capital Markets Advisors

CMA examined the project scope and concluded that all the work would be aidable from SED. The financial analysis confirms that the project will result in a flat budget impact

when factoring state building aid, funds from the capital reserve, and new debt service payments.

#### **SEQRA Review Process**

Meeting with Margo May tomorrow (May 1) to determine which pathway we need to follow for the review. There are three types: Type II is the easiest path, and we have been able to use the Type II path in the past. The proximity to the aqueduct and the historic nature of MS/HS building, however, will more than likely require us to follow the path for either an Unlisted Action or a Type I project. That means that the District will need to send letters to the Village of Dobbs Ferry, Town of Greenburgh, DEC, NYSED, and the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) informing them that the District intends to be the lead agent in the process. Agency responses must be received within a 30- day period in time for the June 11th BOE meeting

Next meeting: Thursday, May 23, 2019